

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/075,065	02/13/2002	William Eugene Moser	47440-044001	7475	
7590 07/25/2005			EXAMINER		
Stephen T. Sch	nerrer	ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN			
McDermott, Wil	II & Emery				
227 West Monro	oe Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60	0606-5096	2165			
		DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/075,	065	MOSER ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			Abel-Jalil	2165				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2005.							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2)  Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of the control		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:	ate	9-152)			

M

Application/Control Number: 10/075,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9-May-2005 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed on 9-May-2005 has been received and entered. Claims 1-20 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-12, 14, 16-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbs (U.S. Patent No. 5,836,529).

As to claim 1, Gibbs discloses a method for inspecting rail equipment and storing information relating to the inspection comprising:

providing rail equipment having a plurality of parts (See Gibbs column 3, lines 4-30);

inspecting the rail equipment to determine a damage condition of each of the parts of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 16, lines 5-67, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 4, lines 1-37);

providing a data entry system for recording the condition of the parts of the rail equipment wherein the data entry system comprises a plurality of fields for entering information related to the damage condition of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 15, lines 15-58, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 16, lines 47-54, wherein "damage condition" reads on "mechanical failure");

inputting the information into the data entry system (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 2, lines 38-67); generating at least one report related to an overall damage condition of the rail equipment

that is calculated from the information input into the data entry system (See Gibbs column 18,

lines 1-67, also see Gibbs column 21, lines 1-41, and see Gibbs column 9, lines 31-56); and

providing a database interconnected with the data entry system for storing information input into the data entry system or generated by the data entry system (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 26-36, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 7, lines 18-47, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 8, lines 42-66).

### Gibbs shows:

monitoring and storing performance and status of railway equipment (See figure 9C, also see column 22, lines 1-30);

reporting capability on selected conditions related to rail equipment or entire train (See figure 9C, also see column 22, lines 1-30);

tracking and reporting (computerized train control map) of rail equipment conditions (whether locomotive is dead or isolated, and mechanical failure codes);

Gibbs does not expressly show providing a plurality of dispositions for the rail equipment and assigning one of the plurality of dispositions to the rail equipment. Gibbs further does not expressly show based on the overall damage condition of the rail equipment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of dispositions for the rail equipment and assigning one of the plurality of dispositions to the rail equipment based on the overall damage condition of the rail equipment (i.e. to provide and assign plurality of status conditions) having any type of content because <u>Gibbs</u> is directed to railroad transportation monitoring and management system and method by detecting, assigning status, and monitoring a set of real time identification, and display characteristics for the set of transports within the transportation network and generating an output display characterizing relationships between the set of transports based on the information collected in the monitoring step (See Abstract).

As to claims 3, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the data entry system stores information relating to a plurality of types of railcars (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 3, lines 4-30).

As to claim 4, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the railcars may be selected from the group consisting of box cars, flat cars, hopper cars, general purpose tank cars, open top hopper and gondola cars, plastic pellet cars, pressure differential cars and pressure tank cars (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 16, lines 13-51).

As to claim 5, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the report comprises information related to whether the rail equipment must be repaired or whether the rail equipment is useable in it present state (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 62-67, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 11, lines 34, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 16, lines 35-67, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 17, lines 1-24).

As to claim 8, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses assigning a damage indicator for each part of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 2, lines 18-67, wherein "damage indicator" reads on "detection signals", also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 62-67, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 11, lines 1-5); and

inputting the damage indicator for each part of the rail equipment into the data entry system (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 19, lines 4-59).

As to claim 9, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses adding information into the data entry system relating to the inspector of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 1, lines 60-67, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 2, lines 1-17).

As to claim 10, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the information further comprises the identity of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 3, lines 4-30, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 46-67, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 11, lines 1-62).

As to claim 11, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses selecting a record of rail equipment from the database (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 2, lines 38-67);

editing information on the record of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 26-36, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 7, lines 18-47, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 8, lines 42-66); and saving the information to the database (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 26-36).

As to claim 12, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses a data entry system for inputting information related to an inspection of rail equipment wherein the rail equipment comprises a plurality of parts comprising:

means for inputting information relating to the type of rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 3, lines 4-30);

means for inputting information relating to an identification of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 3, lines 4-30, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 10, lines 46-67, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 11, lines 1-62);

means for inputting information relating to a damage condition of each of the parts of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 4, lines 11-18, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 22, lines 1-22, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 16, lines 47-54, wherein "damage condition" reads on "mechanical failure"); and means for calculating an overall damage condition for the rail equipment based on information relating to the damage condition of each of the parts of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 21, lines 1-41, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 9, lines 31-56); and

means for generating at least one report related to the information entered about the damage condition of each of the parts of the rail equipment (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 18, lines 1-67, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 22, lines 23-67, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 21, lines 1-41, and see <u>Gibbs</u> column 9, lines 31-56).

Gibbs shows:

monitoring and storing performance and status of railway equipment (See figure 9C, also see column 22, lines 1-30);

reporting capability on selected conditions related to rail equipment or entire train (See figure 9C, also see column 22, lines 1-30);

tracking and reporting (computerized train control map) of rail equipment conditions (whether locomotive is dead or isolated, and mechanical failure codes);

Gibbs does not expressly show means for assigning a disposition from a plurality of dispositions. Gibbs further does not expressly show based on the overall damage condition of the rail equipment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of dispositions for the rail equipment and assigning one of the plurality of dispositions to the rail equipment based on the overall damage condition of the rail equipment (i.e. to provide and assign plurality of status conditions) having any type of content because <u>Gibbs</u> is directed to railroad transportation monitoring and management system and method by detecting, assigning status, and monitoring a set of real time identification, and display characteristics for the set of transports within the transportation network and generating an output display characterizing relationships between the set of transports based on the information collected in the monitoring step (See Abstract).

As to claim 14, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses a database for storing the information input into the data entry system (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 6, lines 12-28, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 8, lines 16-

41).

As to claim 16, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the rail equipment is a railcar (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 7, lines 37-67).

As to claim 17, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the information relating to the condition of the rail equipment indicates whether the rail equipment is damaged (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 4, lines 11-18, also see <u>Gibbs</u> column 22, lines 1-22).

As to claim 19, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses wherein the reports indicate whether the rail equipment is useable in its present form or whether the rail equipment needs repairs (See <u>Gibbs</u> column 19, lines 4-45).

As to claim 20, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified discloses means for saving the information and reports into a database (See Gibbs column 18, lines 1-67).

5. Claims 2, 6-7, 13, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbs (U.S. Patent No. 5,836,529) in view of Jarrett (U.S. Patent No. 6,345,257 B1).

As to claim 2, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified still does not teach wherein the report comprises information relating to an estimated cost of repair of the rail equipment.

Jarrett teaches wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of

repair of the rail equipment (See Jarrett column 15, lines 27-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have further modified Gibbs as modified to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair of the rail equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified Gibbs as modified by the teaching of Jarrett to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair of the rail equipment because showing the cost associated with repair allows for better business management and ultimately cost reduction for the corporation.

As to claim 6, Gibbs as modified does not teach wherein the report further comprises information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped.

Jarrett teaches wherein the reports further comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped (See Jarrett column 1, lines 46-67, also see <u>Jarrett</u> abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have further modified Gibbs as modified to include wherein the reports further comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified Gibbs as modified by the teaching of Jarrett to include

wherein the reports further comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped because it allows for quicker and efficient response time to problem reporting thereby cutting operational business costs.

As to claims 7, and 18, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified still does not teach printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry system.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry (See Jarrett column 7, lines 63-67, and see <u>Jarrett</u> column 8, lines 1-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have further modified <u>Gibbs</u> as modified to include printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified <u>Gibbs</u> as modified by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry because it allows for accommodation of user preferences and customization and provides for aviability of on the spot trouble data entry means for maintenance/inspection crew.

As to claim 13, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified does not teach wherein the report comprises information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the rail equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment (See Jarrett column 15, lines 27-67, also see <u>Jarrett</u> column 5, lines 15-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have further modified <u>Gibbs</u> as modified to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified <u>Gibbs</u> as modified by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment because showing the cost associated with repair allows for better business management and ultimately cost reduction for the corporation.

As to claim 15, <u>Gibbs</u> as modified still does not teach means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment (See <u>Jarrett</u> column 15, lines 27-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have further modified <u>Gibbs</u> as modified to include means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to have further modified <u>Gibbs</u> as modified by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment because showing the cost associated with repair allows for better business management and ultimately cost reduction for the corporation.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>Hawthorne</u> (U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0029411 A1) teaches status update for detected train faults or equipment malfunctions and alert crew to condition using a counter.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,065 Page 13

Art Unit: 2165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil July 21, 2005

JEFFRA GAPTING

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100